No. S286705 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Betty Long, et al.

Plaintiffs and Respondents,

v.

City of Exeter, et al.

Defendants and Appellants.

On Review From The Court Of Appeal For the Second Appellate District, Division Six, Case No. B316324

After An Appeal From the Superior Court For The State of California, County of San Luis Obispo, Case Number 17CV0529

Hon. Barry T. LaBarbera

NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT IN PRINCIPLE AND JOINT REQUEST FOR STAY OF BRIEFING OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ANSWERING BRIEF ON THE MERITS

WILKE FLEURY LLP Suzanne M. Nicholson (SBN 208163) <u>snicholson@wilkefleury.com</u> 621 Capitol Mall, Suite 900 Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 441-2430 Facsimile: (916) 442-6664 Attorneys for Defendants/Appellants City of Exeter, Brett Inglehart, Clifton Bush

STEVEN B. STEVENS, A PROF. CORP. Steven B. Stevens (SBN 106907) <u>SBStevens@TheStevensFirm.com</u> 2934 1/2 Beverly Glen Circle, Suite 477 Los Angeles, CA 90077 Telephone: (310) 474-3474 Facsimile: (310) 470-6063 Attorneys for Plaintiffs/Respondents Diane E. McKenna as Trustee of the Long Family Trust, Rachel Fear, Steven Fear, Sarah Fear

REQUEST FOR STAY OF BRIEFING

The parties to this action, by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby jointly request a stay of briefing in this matter to allow the parties sufficient time to finalize and effectuate a settlement reached on January 23, 2025.

1. Plaintiffs and Respondents Betty Long, Rachel Fear, Steven Fear, and Sarah Fear filed a Petition for Review on September 3, 2024 and this Court granted Review on October 23, 2024.

2. Plaintiffs and Respondents requested and received two (2) 30-day extensions of time in which to file their Opening Brief on the Merits, in part based on the parties' ongoing settlement efforts.

3. Plaintiffs filed their Opening Brief on the Merits on January 22, 2025. Defendants' Answering Brief on the Merits is currently due on February 21, 2025.

4. The parties participated in an all-day mediation on December 10, 2024 with retired Justice Martin Tangeman, and a second mediation session on January 23, 2025, wherein the parties reached a settlement in principle.

5. The parties are currently in the process of completing a written settlement agreement.

6. The parties will need at least seventy-five (75) days after execution of the final settlement agreement to fulfill all of the terms, conditions, and covenants thereto. As part of this process, the parties agreed to make this joint request for a stay of briefing or an extension of time. 7. The parties' agreement is expected to fully and finally resolve this litigation and the parties wish to avoid the needless expenditure of resources and fees associated with the current briefing schedule in this Court.

8. The parties therefore jointly request an order staying the current briefing schedule, with a Request for Dismissal (subject to the Court's discretion) to be filed within ninety (90) days of the date of said order.

ALTERNATIVE REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME IN WHICH TO FILE OPENING BRIEF ON THE MERITS

9. In the alternative, should the Court not be inclined to order a stay of the briefing schedule, the parties hereby jointly request a seventy-five (75) day extension of time for Defendants to file their Answering Brief on the Merits, for all the reasons set forth above.

DATED:	February	4,	2025	
--------	----------	----	------	--

WILKE FLEURY LLP

By: /s/ Suzanne M. Nicholson SUZANNE M. NICHOLSON Attorneys for City of Exeter, Brett Inglehart, Clifton Bush

DATED: February 4, 2025

By: /s/ Steven B. Stevens STEVEN B. STEVENS Attorneys for Betty Long, Rachel Fear, Steven Fear, Sarah Fear

PROOF OF SERVICE

Long v. City of Exeter Supreme Court No. S286705

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am employed in the County of Sacramento, State of California. My business address is 621 Capitol Mall, Suite 900, Sacramento, CA 95814.

On February 4, 2025, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT IN PRINCIPLE AND REQUEST FOR STAY OF BRIEFING OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ANSWERING BRIEF ON THE MERITS on the interested parties in this action as follows:

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

■ **BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE:** I electronically filed the document(s) with the Clerk of the Court by using the TrueFiling system. Participants in the case who are registered users will be served by the TrueFiling system. Participants in the case who are not registered users will be served by mail or by other means permitted by the court rules.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on February 4, 2025, at Sacramento, California.

/s/ Shareen Khan

Shareen Khan

SERVICE LIST

Long v. City of Exeter Second Appellate District, Division Six, No. B316324 San Luis Obispo County Superior Court No. 17CV0529 Supreme Court No. S286705

Jacqueline Vitti Frederick 267 W. Tefft St., Ste. B Nipomo, CA 93444 jacqueline@fredericklaw.net Counsel for Plaintiff/ Respondent Betty Long Via TrueFiling Chester E. Walls LITIGATION ENGINEERED 1300 E. Shaw Ave, Ste. 125 Fresno, CA 93710 Tel. (559) 221-2771 cew@litg-engr.com Co-Counsel for Defendants/Appellants Via TrueFiling

John Denove Cheong & Denove, APC 149 South Barrington Avenue, #809 Los Angeles, California 90049 firm@cdrbh.com Counsel for Plaintiffs/Respondents Rachel Fear, Sarah Fear, Steven Fear Via TrueFiling Steven B. Stevens Steven B. Stevens, A Prof. Corp. 2934 1/2 Beverly Glen Circle, #477 Los Angeles, CA 90077 SBStevens@TheStevensFirm.com Appellate Counsel for Plaintiffs/Respondents Via True Filing

Raymond A. Cardozo Reed Smith LLP 101 Second Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94105 rcardozo@reedsmith.com Appellate Counsel for Plaintiffs/Respondents Via TrueFiling